SINGLE BENCH

(2)
(4)

CF-1081

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH
W.P. No. 4617/2006
(5)

PETITIONER : Smt. K.L.S. Caimal
aged about 52 years.
W/O. Shri K.G.S. Caimal A.N.M.
K.G. Hospital Raigarh,
R/O. Bus Depo Road, Raigarh (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4659/06 presented by Shri A.K. Asthana dated 24/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary Health
Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
Rina
24/08/06
BILASPUR

2. The Chief Medical and Health Officer,
Raipur, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

3. The Director, Medical and Health
Department, Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP...4617/06... **सन् 200**

30

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30-8-2006

Mr. A.K. Athaley, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents, on advance copy.

Heard both the counsel.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged her transfer order dated 7-7-2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2, whereby she has been transferred from District Hospital, Raigarh to Primary Health Centre, Hirri (Sarangarh).

The case of the petitioner is that she is working as Ancillary Nurse Midwife in the Public Health Department and posted at K.G. Hospital, Raigarh. Main grievance of the petitioner raised in this writ petition is that the petitioner has under gone some heart problem and Trade Mill test was done. ~~to her~~. The petitioner required lifetime emergency medical treatment. On 19-8-2006, the petitioner has suffered heart problem again and the doctor has advised to undergo either angioplasty or bypass surgery.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all her grievances and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all her grievances annexing a copy of the writ petition and its annexures to respondent No.1 within ten days from today and respondent

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.4617/ **सन्** 2006

(31)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	No.1 is directed to decide the representation within one month from the date of its receipt after considering the points raised by the petitioner, in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.3563/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge	

P.T.O.